

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,678	01/23/2001	Axel Ullrich	038602-1082 4384	
75	90 12/19/2003		EXAMINER	
Beth A. Burrous			SPECTOR, LORRAINE	
FOLEY & LAR	DNER			
Washington Harbour			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500			1647	
Washington, DC 20007-5109			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/766,678	ULLRICH ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cice later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11.1926 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 1	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	within the period set forth in fithe appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): rejections under 35 USC	§112, second paragraph.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration by the continuation of the	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>43 and 49-51</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>36-42, 47, 48</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
		Torraine Santo				
		Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1647				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: compound has expected properties. Motivation to combine references does not have to be the same as that of applicants..